

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
SOURCE METHODS EXEMPTION 3B2B
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT
DATE 2001 2005

CONTACT REPORT

16 May 1961

FLEMING - ☐ ☐
Bruecke - 1140-1220 hours

1. CALLIKAK Coverage - ☐ ☐ gave FLEMING the latest intercepts per Yellow No. 24349.
2. Polish Report on German Defense Ministry - ☐ ☐ gave FLEMING the copies of the material BERNHARDT had received in Washington and given us for official transmittal per Yellow No. 24348.
3. FRIESEN Request Via GEORG for EDC Check on Dr. MILDNER - This was discussed at some length in terms of the fact that the request had been made without the knowledge of STURM, that it was difficult to see how FRIESEN could have requested the information for any official reason, and that it might be highly interesting to see what sort of reason FRIESEN came up with when questioned about the matter. FLEMING said the questioning would be done by CHAYERLE, who expected to approach the matter in terms of FRIESEN's request having been out of channel and asking FRIESEN to explain what it was all about. FLEMING said that MILDNER had been mentioned in the Richmann trial as a "Hauptzeuge" in connection with Nazi atrocities during the war in Denmark. FLEMING said to the best of his knowledge MILDNER's present whereabouts were unknown.
4. FRIESEN Elicitation re IL-18 - In reviewing this ☐ ☐ said it was not quite as odd that FRIESEN had checked ☐ ☐ on the question of the missing pouches as it was that he had been interested in the missing IL-18 engine. FLEMING said he was still checking to see if FRIESEN might have obtained his information re the missing pouches from UJROVER.
5. KUBARK Surveillance Report - ☐ ☐ said it would not be possible for us to place FRIESEN under surveillance in Oberaudorf over the Pfingst Wochenende. ☐ ☐ explained that the time was too short to do the job properly and that we were in the process of doing the necessary casing in order to attempt surveillance at a later date.
6. LCFLUTTER - ☐ ☐ asked if there had been follow-up discussions on this subject since UTILITY's return. FLEMING said there had been and that UPHILL had decided that it would use the LCFLUTTER in an effort to resolve the FRIESEN case--but only after exhausting all other possibilities for obtaining other collateral and derogatory information that would make the LCFLUTTER more meaningful. FLEMING said the LCFLUTTER would be used along lines FLEMING and Holderness had discussed at a previous meeting, i.e., under the cover of UTILITY considering regular use of the LCFLUTTER and obtaining various views, etc., including the testing of selected senior officers, prior to making a firm and final decision. FLEMING said he was hopeful that such an approach would not frighten FRIESEN too much if properly prepared and agreed that it would be quite difficult for FRIESEN to refuse to take the test under such circumstances.
7. Trace Request via BROCK - FLEMING said he knew ☐ ☐ was meeting BROCK for lunch and wanted to alert ☐ ☐ to the fact that he would be receiving a large batch of trace requests--some of which would have a bearing on UPHILL's REVISION investigation. FLEMING said BERNHARDT was interested in handling some queries in routine channels and was in a position to siphon off the results. ☐ ☐ really was interested in when our answers were received. FLEMING said that only ☐ ☐

No Second Page on F44B